PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P2146 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month	/year) Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/EP2004/012370	02.11.2004	04.11.2003						
International Patent Classification (IPC) or nation	onal classification and IPC							
C03C17/42, C04B41 /89, C23C28/00								
Applicant SCHOTT AG								
	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	5 shee	ts, including this cover sheet.						
3. This report is also accompanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:							
a. (sent to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a total c	f sheets, as follows:						
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).								
sheets which superse		thority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond						
Box.	international application as filed, a	s indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
b. (sent to the International E	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))						
		, containing a sequence listing and/or tables						
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).								
4. This report contains indications relating	ng to the following items:							
Box No. I Basis of the	report							
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. IV Lack of unit	y of invention							
DON 110. 1	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Box No. VI Certain docu	Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defe	cts in the international application	application						
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comp	letion of this report						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized o	fficer						
Facsimile No.	Telephone N							

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/012370

Box	k No. I		Basis of the report	•	
1.			to the language, this report is based on the internation der this item.	nal application in the language in which	it was filed, unless otherwise
			eport is based on translations from the original langua		,
	[international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	0303 01.	
	[publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)	
	[international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and	or 55.3)	
2.	receiv	_	to the elements of the international application, this <i>effice in response to an invitation under Article 14 ar</i>		
	\square		ternational application as originally filed/furnished		
		the de	scription:		
		pages			as originally filed/furnished
		pages	*	received by this Authority on	
		pages	*	received by this Authority on	
	\bowtie	the cla	aims:		
		nos.	1-12		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (together with	any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	
	Ш	the dr	awings:		
		sheets	·		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets	*	received by this Authority on	
		sheets	*	received by this Authority on	
		a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.		The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
	[the description, pages		
			the claims, nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
4.			report has been established as if (some of) the amend	ments annexed to this report and listed	below had not been made, since
		mī.	have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fi		x (Rule 70.2(c)).
			the description, pages		
	ı I		the claims, nos.		
	[the drawings, sheets/figs		
	ı İ		the sequence listing (specify):		
*	If iten		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/012370

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	7-10	YES
		Claims	1-6, 11, 12	_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-12	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
		Claims		_ NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 891 953 A

D2: EP 1 142 845 A

- 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1-6, 11 and 12 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)) for the following reasons:
- 2.1 D1 discloses a glass pane containing a glass substrate, a first layer of silicon dioxide and a hydrophobic second layer. The inner layer is produced via a sol-gel method by applying a silane and subsequently drying. The outer hydrophobic layer is produced from a fluorosilane (see claim 1). On page 3, line 42, it is explicitly mentioned that the layer is applied as sol. The layer thickness of the inner layer is up to 30 nm (see claim 6). Dipping and spraying are indicated as coating methods (see page 4, lines 10-13). In example 1, after the substrate has been cleaned, a silicon dioxide layer is applied which, after drying at room temperature, is 20 nm thick (in the present application, e.g. in

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

example 4, drying is also carried out at room temperature). It is generally known that, in this coating method, a gel layer is formed from the sol applied, even if this is not explicitly mentioned. Since drying is carried out at the same temperature as in the present application, the first layer disclosed in D1 can be designated an inorganic sol-gel layer within the meaning of the present application. In example 1 of D1, a fluorosilane-containing layer is then applied, which is baked for 30 minutes at 140 °C. In examples $3A_1A$ and $4A_1B$, the first layer is dried at 100 °C for an hour and the second layer is baked for 30 minutes at 140 °C. The subject matter of claims 1-6, 11 and 12 is therefore not novel over D1.

2.2 D2 discloses in claim 1 a method for producing a coating in which a silicon dioxide layer containing hydroxyl groups is produced from a silane solution, wherein the layer is merely dried briefly - if at all at 80 to 150 °C so as to obtain the OH groups (see page 7, lines 56-58). Consequently, both drying merely at room temperature and drying at a temperature of 80 °C are explicitly disclosed. In the examples, the coating solution is produced at 15% relative air humidity and applied. As a result of the water present in the air, there is necessarily a hydrolysis and condensation reaction typical for the sol-gel method, as described in the final paragraph of page 5 of the present application. An inorganic sol-gel layer is thus formed. Subsequently, a fluorosilane layer is deposited and baked for 10 to 100 minutes at a temperature of 300 to 450 °C (see claims 2-4). In the examples, a thickness of 50 or 40 nm is given

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/012370

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

for the silicon dioxide layer. Dipping, for example, is indicated as a coating method (see page 7, lines 30-33), the substrate having been previously cleaned and degreased (see page 7, lines 19-20). The subject matter of claims 1-6, 11 and 12 is therefore not novel over D2 either.

3. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 7-10 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

The additional technical features indicated in these dependent claims are only one of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art aware of the prior art disclosed in D1 and D2 would choose to solve the problem of interest according to the circumstances, without thereby being inventive.